**SDR**

Date: February 27, 2025

**I. PURPOSE****:** Department of Defense (DoD) Supply Discrepancy Reporting (SDR) Process Review Committee (PRC) bi-monthly call series to discuss on going SDR issues within the Department of Defense (DoD) and other federal agencies.

* **Additional DEDSO SDR General Communication:** Do you have a question, hot topic, or an SDR-related update? We encourage you to email us at DEDSO.SDR@dla.mil.

**II. ATTENDEES**

| **Component** | **Name** (Primary) | **Name** (Alternate) | **Name** | **Name** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ODASD(LOG)** | Jeremy Amason | Patrick O’Connell | Joseph Williams |  |
| **DEDSO** | Ben Breen | Corbin Austin | Mashiya Young |  |
| **DAAS** | Pablo Gomez | Diane Wood | Sean Humenansky | Marsha Lucas |
| **DLA** **HQ** | Troy Brown | Jose Pereira | Jen Smith | Eric Prowell |
| **DLA Disposition Services** | Denisse Cunningham | Keith Catt |  |  |
| **U.S. Army** | Weston Caldwell | Grant Broome | Yolanda Johnson |  |
| **USASAC FMS** | Erik Morales | Jeannette Guy | Alena Zayats |  |
| **U.S. Marine Corps** | Kevin Austin | Major Arielle Irsik | Ted Wiechman | CW Pierce |
| **U.S. Navy/PDREP** | Jared Trinkaus | Krystal Abreu | Alex Sparks |  |
| **U.S. Navy FMS** | Jeri Davis | Barry Magagna III |  |  |
| **U.S. Air Force** | William Wenzel | Danielle Woods-Lewis | Tamara Barnett | Darvin Moore |
| **AFSAC FMS** | Timothy Hecht | Mark Passage |  |  |
| **U.S. Coast Guard** | Fred Haub |  |  |  |
| **DCMA** | Laurie Cooper | Richard Mast |  |  |
| **DSCA** | Derrick Lee | Michelle Davis |  |  |
| **GSA** | Carey DeForest | Ben Hyatt | Nancy Bamford |  |
| **USTRANSCOM** | Dan Eisenberg | James DeCarli |  |  |
| **SPRS** | Belinda Labourdette | Danielle Coombs |  |  |

**All Call Participants:** Please MUTE phone during the call unless responding or asking a question. Do not place the call on HOLD.

**Reminders:** SDR records contain Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI). Please remember to mark CUI and encrypt when attaching or sending SDR details by email.

SDR Appointment Letters for 2025 were due February 15th.

**Announcement: 2025** DLMS Summit in Tysons VA, April 29th - May 2nd. Please let us know of any topics you would like DEDSO to brief on, as well as any topics your Component would like to discuss.

* Waiting to hear back on desk/table availability for large group sessions.
* **Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) Headquarters (HQ):** Will there be an option for virtual attendance for the Defense Logistics Management Standards (DLMS) Summit? DLA HQ plans to attend in person, however, DLA has been tasked to reduce travel spending.
* **DEDSO SDR Response:** Yes, Components will be able to attend virtually. In addition, the DEDSO Director is aware of this concern and is monitoring any new requirements and freezes. Updates/changes will be sent as soon as they are known.
* **DEDSO SDR:** Asked if anyone had topics they would like to discuss or brief during the DLMS Summit; Nothing heard.

**III. ACTION ITEMS**

| **Date Opened** | **Action** | **Assigned To** | **Date Due** | **Date Closed** | **Status** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***11/07/2024*** | ***Appointment Letters*** | DLA  Air Force  AFSAC  Army  USASAC  Marine Corps  Navy  Navy FMS  DAAS  DCMA  DLA Dispo. Services  DSCA  USCG  GSA  USTRANSCOM | *02/15/2025* |  | ONGOING |
| ***11/07/2024*** | ***Remove All 2 digit “P” Disc. Codes per Approved DLMS Change (ADC) 1059***  ***Report sent to DLA due to Warehouse Management System (WMS) being the only system identified.*** | DLA is good except for Type R.  Air Force  AFSAC  Army  Marine Corps  Navy  DAAS | *04/01/2025* |  | ONGOING |
| ***11/07/2024*** | ***Ensure wrong item material identifiers are included for wrong item discrepancies.*** | DLA  Air Force  Army  Marine Corps  Navy  DAAS | *04/01/2025* |  | ONGOING |
| ***11/07/2024*** | ***ADC Withdraws*** *- DLA HQ plans to formally withdraw ADCs 1045, 1084, 1126 and 1273.* | DLA HQ | 05/01/2025  (If this due date does not work, please let DEDSO know so we can adjust date.) |  | ONGOING |
| ***11/20/2024*** | ***Draft Proposed DLMS Change (PDC) 1475:*** *DEDSO follow on meeting scheduled for March 6th to discuss 14 day timeframe.* | AFSAC/DEDSO |  |  | OPEN |
| ***12/18/2024*** | ***Add new Reply Codes 209/210 per ADC 1474*** | DLA  Air Force  Army  Marine Corps  Navy  DAAS | *04/01/2025* |  | OPEN |
| ***1/30/2025*** | ***UPDATE AFSAC DoDAAC***  ***FG2303*** | DLA  Air Force  Army  Marine Corps  Navy  DAAS | 06/01/2025 |  | OPEN |
| ***1/30/2025*** | ***Scrub DEDSO SDR Distribution list for Component Points of Contact (POCs)*** | DLA  ODASD  Air Force  GSA  Army  USASAC  Marine Corps  Navy  Navy FMS  USCG  DAAS | 03/12/2025 |  | OPEN |
|  |  | DLA  ODASD  Air Force  Army  Marine Corp  Navy  USCG  DAAS |  |  | OPEN |
|  |  | DLA  ODASD  Air Force  Army  Marine Corp  Navy  Navy FMS  USCG  DAAS |  |  | OPEN |

**IV. AGENDA**

1. **PDC 1492, Mandatory Use of Shipment Notification (Supply):** This change authorizes storage activities to suspend materiel delivered without a matching advance shipment notification (e.g., advance shipment notice (ASN), shipment status, or returns). Current policy requires shipping activities to transmit advance notification to the inventory control point (ICP) upon shipment. However, the notification is not always transmitted to the receiving activity resulting in the arrival of unexpected deliveries.

Upon implementation of this change, the lack of advance shipment notification will result in suspended stock. To reduce implementation efforts, Defense Automatic Addressing System (DAAS) will transmit a copy of the shipment notification to the ship-to address based on the notification sent to the ICP.

In addition, this change incorporates a new qualifier to reference the original SDR code () number in designated DLMS transactions.

* **DEDSO SDR:** Introduced Mr. Rafael Gonzalez from DLA to discuss the intent of PDC 1492 and to answer any Component questions or concerns.
* **DLA HQ (Rafael):** The intent of PDC 1492 is to address Notifications of Findings and Recommendations to account for materiel that has been physically delivered, but waiting to be officially received and counted.
  + Need to account for materiel at this stage of the process, before it has been officially received and counted.
  + With this new process, we rely on ASN and PMR to marry the shipments together so the system will track and account for the materiel that should be in the box.
  + This new process also allows us to put a dollar value on the materiel waiting to be officially received.
* **U.S. Army:** One of the main concerns we have is the number of suspended stock SDRs we will receive.
* **DLA HQ (Rafael):** I am in the process of working with DAAS to develop a report that details the data on how this process will work. Note: for government-to-government shipments, there has been a requirement to send an AS8 to the ICP. What we want to do is use the AS8 data that the Components should already be using, so DAAS will be able to determine who will need a copy of the AS8. DAAS will send a copy to the identified ship-to, alleviating any system changes.
* **U.S. Navy:** U.S. Navy has the same concerns as U.S. Army.
* **DLA HQ (Rafael):** We will develop the numbers so all Components will have a clear understanding about the quantity of suspended stock.
  1. This has been a requirement for at least 20 years. If you are not using AS8 currently, is there a plan for when you will be at the requirement level?
  2. This is already a requirement for serial managed items under ADC 1244B. Stopping the materiel is not the way to address suspended stock.
  3. The concern with suspended stock may not be enough of a concern to non-concur to PDC 1492. Working with DAAS, we will develop the data to better understand the impact.
* **U.S. Army:** Is the expectation for all stock items?
* **DLA (Rafael):** Yes. ADC 1244B is for serial management items and 1492 will be for all stock items.
* **U.S. Army:** From the U.S. Army perspective, this can cause massive problems.
* **DLA HQ (Jose):** If the initial issue is a lack of ASNs being submitted, shouldn’t the focus be meeting that requirement? What is the plan moving forward?
* **U.S. Army (Tiffanie):** There are different scenarios – we are not always the entity to send the ASN, therefore, we cannot guarantee that the ASN is sent.
* **DLA (Rafael):** PDC 1492 redirects a copy of the transaction each time to the ship-to address – so we can minimize any increase in suspended stock. Without this, the audit cannot be resolved. We will look at numbers/data so we can provide you with a clear understanding. Recommend the Components review their systems, so they meet the AS8 requirement.
* **DLA Distribution HQ:** Another concern is this will take up a lot of warehouse space.
* **DLA (Rafael):** Let me get the numbers so we have a better understanding, and we will review the data in a follow-up meeting.
  + Clarification: The PMR and the ASN are a parent/child relationship.  
    This clarifies ownership and what materiel is coming.
* **U.S. Army:** Has the testing phase begun yet?
* **DLA (Rafael):** We are in the proposed stage in this process. This PDC doesn’t require any changes in transaction flow other than the inbound.
* **GSA:** Will defer to their Supply PRC members.
* **DEDSO (Steve):** No questions or concerns were brought up during the bi-weekly Supply PRC meeting. DEDSO Supply will make sure GSA Supply members are aware of PDC 1492 at next Supply Meeting.
* **AMCOM:** What’s the resolution if there is no ASN?
* **DLA (Rafael):** At the point, you would provide an acknowledgment.
  + **Action:** DLA will work with DAAS to develop the numbers so we have a clear understanding and see if there are any gaps.
  + Note: This PDC has high visibility. All concerns will be raised to leadership.

1. **MFR 1495 1H/3B Supply Discrepancy Reporting with Suspended Assets Rule Enforcement:** The administrative MFR documents that WebSDR is programming an edit to enforce the rule that information-only SDRs (Action Code 1H/3B) cannot suspend material in Supply Condition Code (SCC) J, K, L, or Q. Furthermore, any new original (00) SDRs submitted as information-only and suspend the material in SCC J, K, L, or Q will be rejected back to the submitting activity with Reply Code 902 - Transaction rejected. Invalid action code for type of discrepancy submitted.

* **DEDSO SDR:** New rule is in place and the emails are being sent.
* **DLA HQ (Troy):** Can we get 1H/3B report (quantity) by the end of the week?
* **DEDSO SDR/DAAS Action:** To provide DLA HQ with 1H/3B quantity report.

1. **Customer Type 6 and 7 SDRs reporting overages/shortages with action code 1H/3B:** DEDSO will be drafting a PDC that will implement a new rule to prevent customers from submitting SDRs reporting overages and shortages with an action code of 1H or 3B.  Customers will be required to submit overage SDRs with an action code of 1A or 2B.  Customers will report shortage SDRs with an action code of 2A.  Over and short shipments have a financial impact, therefore, the associated SDRs should not be submitted as information-only and automatically set to a closed status.  This change will not apply to Security Assistance (SA) customers identified by a Military Assistance Program Address Code and document numbers starting with B, D, K, P or T.
2. **PDC 1460A Pre-Positioned Release Orders Process with Supporting Document Number:** **Update:** Sent out for staffing on Feb. 20th. The purpose of this addendum is to extend the supporting document number qualifier ‘43’ to the additional DLMS implementation conventions (ICs). ADC 1460 established the Pre-Positioned Release Order Process and added the supporting document number to the DLMS 940R Materiel Release (4030) at 2/N9/0400. At the three Air Logistics Complexes, the U.S. Air Force will map their internal maintenance document numbers on the DLMS 940R to the DLA’s WMS. The U.S. Air Force Stock Control System (SCS)/D035K is designed to receive the supporting document number mapped to subsequent transactions from WMS using the DLMS 842A/W, DLMS 867I, DLMS 945A, DLMS 856S, and DLMS 870S. This change impacts DLA WMS and U.S. Air Force SCS/D035K systems only.
3. **Draft PDC 1475 Security Assistance Customer Supply Discrepancy Reporting for Misdirected Shipments:** This proposed change updates the action activities response time for Security Assistance (SA) SDR Document Type Code 6/7 for misdirected shipments to be 14 days.

**Update:** Meeting scheduled for March 6th, 2025.

**V.** **RECENT TOPICS AND NOTES FROM PREVIOUS CALLS**

1. **Discussion on ADC 1474 – 209/210 Reply Codes:** This change will add two new SDR reply codes for action activities to report whether stock screenings (SSs) for Security Assistance (SA) SDR quality-related deficiencies were initiated or not.

* **DLA HQ:** From a DLA perspective, this is more involved than just submitting a ticket; The workforce will have to be informed and trained. Will other Components be expected to program to perform SS?
* **DEDSO SDR:** As of now, there are no expectations. This topic has been tabled for now and a follow-up meeting will be scheduled to discuss.

1. **DoDAAC FA2075 Purge from DoDAAD – Impact to AFSAC ILCO Online System**

Update: 49 total transactions recovered and successfully reprocessed.

Discussion with AFSAC indicated they knew that FA2075 was deleted, but did not attempt to migrate to their “new” DoDAAC FG2303 yet.  In coordination with DEDSO and AFSAC (and surely some GEX/translation layers), DEDSO will prepare to make that transition.

1. **Request PRC Representatives (Reps) to Scrub DEDSO SDR Distribution List for Their Component POCs.** Currently our invite list and distribution list needs to be updated and validated. Moving forward, only those on this distribution list would be included on this call invite list. DEDSO will provide each service/Component PRC Reps with the list to review and update as they see fit.
2. **WebSDR – 2-Digit P Series Discrepancy Codes:** WMS (along with other systems) are still sending 2-digit “P” codes, which were eliminated per ADC 1059 (March 2013). We were notified by U.S. Army/DLA, and in EBS (WebSDR # 20243531848) that these are causing rejections at the receiving SDR systems. Because this is causing downstream failures/rejections WebSDR will start to reject these 2-digit “P” codes (i.e., P2).

**Effective April 1, 2025, WebSDR will reject any new 00 SDRs with Reply Code 915 - Transaction rejected. Missing or invalid type of discrepancy code.**

* **DLA HQ:** Still reviewing from a DLA perspective and will follow-up.
* **Note:** DAAS resent P2 usage report along with another report that includes rejections to DLA HQ. (See emails dated 02/27/2025 and 02/28/2025.)

1. **Wrong-Item Discrepancies:** For wrong-item discrepancies, materiel identification of the wrong item must be included per DLMS Volume 2, Chapter 17. It states:

C17.3.2.11.4. Report part number discrepancies when the part number marking appears to be inconsistent with the specified NSN. This includes when the part number received is not associated with the applicable NSN or when the part number cannot be matched to Federal Logistics Information System (FLIS) catalog data/FEDLOG. The SDR must include the part number and manufacturer’s Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code for the item received. Part discrepancies will be submitted directly to the item manager.

C17.3.2.11.5. DoD WebSDR requires identification of the incorrect item received using discrete data elements (refer to the DLMS IC 842A/W). If multiple incorrect items are received under the same document number, use the appropriate discrepancy code for mixed stock and identify the first (highest quantity or controlled item) and use the remarks text to explain the mixed content shipment.

C17.3.18.2.10.2. SDR must include materiel identification. Materiel identification may consist of the NSN, manufacturer’s part number and manufacturer’s CAGE, or as authorized under DLMS for unique business processes (e.g., “mixed” or “unknown” for transshipper SDRs and local catalog identification number). Where wrong item discrepancies are reported, materiel identification of the wrong item must be included. Wrong item received may be identified as previously indicated or by description alone (citing “UNKNOWN” as the wrong item part number). Reject with disposition/status (Reply) Code 931.

WMS is sending wrong-item type 5/8s SDRs, but not including wrong-item information.

**Effective April 1, 2025, WebSDR will reject any new 00 SDRs with Reply Code 932- Transaction rejected. Missing or invalid wrong item information.**

1. **DEDSO SDR PDC/ADC Process:** During the May DLMS summit, there were discussions about a tactical pause on new PDC/ADCs, to allow time for the ADC rationalization and other strategic initiatives our office is working on. The SDR team is wrapping up several PDCs/ADCs and will be pivoting towards this direction in the fall, however, this does NOT mean we will not be doing PDCs/ADCs. Instead, we will only do those that have an urgency, or break/fix solution.
2. **DEDSO SDR Data to Advana:** DEDSO is working with DAAS/ODASD LOG to have SDR data be sent to Advana.
3. **X12 LIN segment:** **On hold:** It has been brought to our attention that WebSDR translator is populating information on outgoing SDR transactions through the LIN30/31 qualifier/data pair (which is the way standard X12 should work), however, the IC only specifies data elements through LIN09. The DLMS IC makes it look like very specific qualifier/data pairs can only be placed in specific LIN positions 01-09.

* DEDSO requested information on how each SDR system was programmed.

**VI. FINAL WRAP-UP:** To view relevant DLMS Changes please go to: [**Approved DLMS Changes (dla.mil)**](https://www.dla.mil/Defense-Data-Standards/Resources/ADC/)

**VII. SDR WORKING GROUP MEETING Notes:** To view Bi-Monthly SDR Implementation Meeting Notes please go to SDR Committee page here: <https://www.dla.mil/Defense-Data-Standards/Committees/SDR/> and scroll down to SDR Working Group Notes for list of most recent notes.

**VIII. ACTIVITIES AND NEWSLETTER**. DEDSO monthly updates and activities for the DLMS community can be found at: [**https://www.dla.mil/Defense-Data-Standards/About-Us/**](https://www.dla.mil/Defense-Data-Standards/About-Us/)